

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

KRISTY GAMAYO, individually and on  
behalf of all others similarly situated,

CASE NO. C11-00762-SBA

Plaintiff(s),

v.

STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~  
ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS

MATCH.COM, L.L.C.

Defendant(s).

\_\_\_\_\_ /  
Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:

The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:

**Court Processes:**

- \_\_\_ Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4)  
\_\_\_ Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)  
x Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)

*(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably more likely to meet their needs than any other form of ADR, must participate in an ADR phone conference and may not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 1608 and ADR L.R. 3-5)*

**Private Process:**

\_\_\_ Private ADR (please identify process and provider) \_\_\_\_\_

The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:

- \_\_\_ the presumptive deadline (*The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.*)  
x other requested deadline - within a reasonable time after the Resolution of the pending Motion to Dismiss, and the forthcoming 12(b)(6) Motion, and Motion to Deny Class Certification.

Dated: 6.8.11

/s/ L. Timothy Fisher  
Attorney for Plaintiff

Dated: 6.8.11

/s/ James Edward Maloney  
Attorney for Defendant

*Laurence B. Armstrong*  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE